Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary 10/821,820	Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
All Participants: (1) Sary K Graham. (2) Donald L. Otto. Date of Interview: 17 March 2005 Time: Type of Interview: 17 March 2005 Time: Telephonic Order Of Interview: 19 Applicant Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Brasty Claims discussed: 19, 21, 22, 24, 26, 27 Prior art documents discussed: Brasty Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Incorporation of limitations of claim 21 into claim 19 and of claim 26 into claim 24 to further distinguish from the Brasty reference. Other minor changes were also discussed. See Examiner's Amendment. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview of the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview of the interview of the interview of the interview. Since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner appears in Part II above.		10/621,820	WARD, RICHARD E.	
All Participants: (1) Gary K Graham. (2) Donald L. Otto. (3)		Examiner	Art Unit	
(1) Gary K Graham. (2) Donald L. Otto. (4)		Gary K Graham	1744	
Canada L. Otto. Canada L.	All Participants: Status of Application:			
Type of Interview: 17 March 2005 Time: Type of Interview: 17 March 2005 Time: Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes Mo If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Brasty Claims discussed: 19, 21, 22, 24, 26, 27 Prior art documents discussed: Brasty Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Incorporation of limitations of claim 21 into claim 19 and of claim 26 into claim 24 to further distinguish from the Brasty reference. Other minor changes were also discussed. See Exeminer's Amendment. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	(1) Gary K Graham.	(3)		
Type of Interview: Telephonic Telephonic Applicant Applicant's representative)	(2) <u>Donald L. Otto</u> .	(4)		
Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Brasty Claims discussed: 19, 21, 22, 24, 26, 27 Prior ant documents discussed: Brasty Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Incorporation of limitations of claim 21 into claim 19 and of claim 24 to further distinguish from the Brasty reference. Other minor changes were also discussed. See Examiner's Amendment. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directive resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directive in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Date of Interview: <u>17 March 2005</u>	Time:		
Rejection(s) discussed: Brasty Claims discussed: 19, 21, 22, 24, 26, 27 Prior art documents discussed: Brasty Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Incorporation of limitations of claim 21 into claim 19 and of claim 26 into claim 24 to further distinguish from the Brasty reference. Other minor changes were also discussed. See Examiner's Amendment. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No			
Claims discussed: 19, 21, 22, 24, 26, 27 Prior art documents discussed: Brasty Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Incorporation of limitations of claim 21 into claim 19 and of claim 26 into claim 24 to further distinguish from the Brasty reference. Other minor changes were also discussed. See Examiner's Amendment. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Part I.			
Prior art documents discussed: Brasty Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Incorporation of limitations of claim 21 into claim 19 and of claim 26 into claim 24 to further distinguish from the Brasty reference. Other minor changes were also discussed. See Examiner's Amendment. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	•			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Incorporation of limitations of claim 21 into claim 19 and of claim 26 into claim 24 to further distinguish from the Brasty reference. Other minor changes were also discussed. See Examiner's Amendment. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Incorporation of limitations of claim 21 into claim 19 and of claim 26 into claim 24 to further distinguish from the Brasty reference. Other minor changes were also discussed. See Examiner's Amendment. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.				
Incorporation of limitations of claim 21 into claim 19 and of claim 26 into claim 24 to further distinguish from the Brasty reference. Other minor changes were also discussed. See Examiner's Amendment. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Part II.			
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Incorporation of limitations of claim 21 into claim 19 and of claim 26 into claim 24 to further distinguish from the Brasty reference.			
directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Part III.			
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. Augustian did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)				
	(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	anature – if appropriate)	